(S)

(21)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 3928 of 2007

PETITIONER		Rajkumar Patel, S/o. Shri Makhan Lal Patel, Aged about 45 years, Upper Division Teacher, Government High School Mahloi, Block – Pusour, District – Raigarh (CG).
	Versus	
RESPONDENTS	1)	State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, CG.
	2)	The District Education Officer, Raigarh, District Raigarh, (CG).
	3)	The Block Education Officer, Block Pusour, District – Raigarh (CG).

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present: Shri Sanjay Patel, counsel for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORDER
(Passed on 11th July, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the fact and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another { 2006 (II) MPJR -CG 96}**, which has been disposed of vide order dated 3-4-2006. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not controvert the said submission.

(22)

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S) No. 4139 of 2005 **(Gopesh Kumar Verma Vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another)** and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute i.e., B.T.I., has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S) No. 4130 of 2005 (**Gopesh Kumar Verms Vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another (supra).**

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioner has acquired D.Ed. Examination Certificate on his own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether he has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Raju